

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA  
FLORENCE DIVISION

Anthony W. Sheppard,	)	C/A NO. 4:13-1380-CMC-TER
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	
	)	<b>OPINION and ORDER</b>
v.	)	
	)	
Myrtle Beach Police Jail; Richland County	)	
Sheriff Dept.; Richland County	)	
Solicitor's Offs.; Richland County Clerk	)	
of Court; General Sessions Court; Public	)	
Defenders Office; Holding Jail; Horry	)	
County Solicitor's Office; Horry County	)	
Police Dept.; J. Ruben Long Detention	)	
Center; Magistrate Phipps, N. Myrtle	)	
Beach, SC; Judge Abigail; Shannon	)	
Campbell; Teressa Odom; Tonya Tyler;	)	
Christy L. Miller; Gainey Sheppard, and	)	
James Rogers,	)	
	)	
Defendants.	)	
	)	

This matter is before the court on Plaintiff's *pro se* complaint, filed in this court pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983.

In accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636(b) and Local Civil Rule 73.02 (B)(2)(d), DSC, this matter was referred to United States Magistrate Judge Thomas E. Rogers, III, for pre-trial proceedings and a Report and Recommendation ("Report"). On May 31, 2013, the Magistrate Judge issued a Report recommending that this matter be dismissed without prejudice and without issuance and service of process. The Magistrate Judge advised Plaintiff of the procedures and requirements for filing objections to the Report and the serious consequences if he failed to do so. Plaintiff filed objections to the Report on June 17, 2013.

The Magistrate Judge makes only a recommendation to this court. The recommendation has no presumptive weight, and the responsibility to make a final determination remains with the court. *See Mathews v. Weber*, 423 U.S. 261 (1976). The court is charged with making a *de novo* determination of any portion of the Report of the Magistrate Judge to which a specific objection is made. The court may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the recommendation made by the Magistrate Judge or recommit the matter to the Magistrate Judge with instructions. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 636(b).

After conducting a *de novo* review as to objections made, and considering the record, the applicable law, the Report and Recommendation of the Magistrate Judge, and Plaintiff's objections, the court agrees with the conclusion of the Report. Accordingly, the Report is adopted by reference in this Order.

Plaintiff's "objections" are copies of material Plaintiff has submitted to various courts seeking to have his record expunged. *See generally* Objections (ECF No. 13). Plaintiff presents no argument or legal authority in response to the Report. Therefore, this matter is dismissed without prejudice and without issuance and service of process.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

s/ Cameron McGowan Currie  
CAMERON MCGOWAN CURRIE  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Columbia, South Carolina  
June 19, 2013